(viii) Action against the Deputy General Manager (Maintenance) for alleged misbehaviour with the General Secretary of the Union.

(ix) Restoration of the privileges withdrawn and removal of anomalies in the pay gradss.

(c) The strike was called off by the union unconditionally.

(d) The Government of Orissa referred the demands relating to formulation of promotion policy, accommodation facility for contract labour and removal of anomalies in the pay grades for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal. In respect of the remaining demands, the stand of Paradeep Phosphates Limited has been as under:

(i) The Company has not made any profit as provided under the Payment of Bonus Act and, therefore, neither bonus nor ex-gratia payment is justified.

(ii) The management has already proposed wage negotiations as early as September 15, 1989.

(iii) Provision for canteen facility for contract labour is the responsibility of the contractors. Management has provided building for canteen for contract labour to be managed by the contractor. All contract labourers are expected to avail this facility.

(iv) The training period of apprentices cannot be made the subject matter of industrial dispute.

(v) There has not been any reduction in the staff and in fact the management is filling up vacancies.

(vi) Action against Manager for alleged misbehaviour cannot be the subject of industrial dispute.

(vii) The dispute regarding withdrawal of privileges was raised earlier by the Union and already covered by the conciliation failure report which has been disposed of by the Government of Orissa.

**Non-Payment of Salaries to Personnel of Wage Board for Journalists and Non-Journalists**

451. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that no funds were sanctioned from September, 1988 for the payment of salaries to the personnel of the Wage Board for Journalists and non-Journalists; and if so, the reasons therefor;

(b) the details of the salaries paid so far; and

(c) the reasons for the delay if any, in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND WELFARE (SHRI RAM VILAS PASWAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Following amount was paid on account of salaries to the personnel of Wage Boards for Journalists and Non-Journalist Newspaper Employees:-

| Year | Amount (Rs. in lakhs) |
|---|---|
| 1985-86 | . . . 1.30 |
| 1986-87 | . . . 4.76 |
| 1987-88 | . . . 5.86 |
| 1988-89 | ... 6.80 |
| 1989-90 | . . . 2.45 |

(c) Does not arise.

**Arrears of Provident Fund due from the Private Sector**

452. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of provident fund arrears due from the private sector as on the 31st March, 1989; and

(b) the number of prosecutions launched, convictions obtained and the amount involved in this behalf during the last three years, year wise?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND WELFARE (SHRI RAM VILAS PASWAN): (a) According to available information, a sura of Rs. 156.53 crores was due from the establishments in the private sector on account of provident fund arrears as on 31st March, 1989, as per break-up given below:-

Rs. in crores

| | |
|---|---|
| Unexempted establishments | 61.86 |
| Exempted establishments | 94.67 |
| | 156.53 |

(b) The information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the Sabha in due course.

Permanent Wage Review Body

453. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any demand for a "Permanent Wage Review Body"; and-

(b) if so, the details thereof and Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND WELFARE (SHRI RAM VILAS PASWAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The fourth Central Pay Commission has suggested setting up of a permanent body which should be responsible for maintaining and updating the basic data on pay and allowances of Government employees and to review the pay scales and rates of allowances and other related matters. The demand is that this suggestion of the Commission may be accepted in full. The Government after examination of this suggestion have not found it possible to accept the suggestion of the Commission and instead decided to set up a small compact unit in the Ministry of Finance for maintaining and updat ing the basic data of pay and allowances of Government employees and other related matters.

**Development of Cities and Towns in Andhra Pradesh**

454. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the amounts sanctioned and disbursed for the development of various cities and towns in Andhra Pradesh during the last three years, year-wise;

(b) the details of the HUDCO loans sanctioned and disbursed for various projects in the State during the above period; and

(c) the number of applications received so far from Andhra Pradesh Government and still pending with the Central Government?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) The details of amounts released for development of various cities and towns in Andhra Pradesh during the last three years is given below:—

(Rs. in lakhs)

| Scheme | Amount released | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1986-87 | 1987-88 | 1988-89 | Total |
| IDSMT (Loans) | 123.50 | 108.70 | 61.50 | 293.70 |
| U.B.S. (Grant) | 5.60 | 13.60 | 11.80 | 31.00 |
| | 129.10 | 122.30 | 73.30 | 324.70 |

(b) The details of HUDCO loans sanctioned and released for the State of Andhra Pradesh during the last three years are given below:—